


# THE REAL 'LAW OF THE LAND' IN AMERICA!



As a result of the manipulated Bankruptcy of '33 - exposed by Rep Lewis McFadden and others in the Congressional Record - that precipitated President Franklin Delano Roosevelt's confiscation of the people's gold and implementation of the "New Deal" - the following change in the "Law of the Land" occurred.

Excerpts from attorney Melvin Stamper's book, *Fruit from a Poisonous Tree*

## [REGISTRATION]

Page 59

Massive registration of property through United States agencies, including the States of the Union as instrumentalities of the federal government in bankruptcy, assured the United States and its officers and instrumentalities (the states) that they would become wealthy beyond their wildest expectations, as predicted by Colonel House. Edward Mandell House had this to say in a private meeting with Woodrow Wilson (President, 1913-1921). From the private papers of Woodrow Wilson:

“[Very] soon, every American will be required to register their biological property in a National system designed to keep track of the people and that will operate under the ancient system of pledging.

**[This is done when mothers fill out and submit a "Certificate of Live Birth".]**

By such methodology, we can compel people to submit to our agenda, which will affect our security as a charge back for our fiat paper currency. Every American will be forced to register or suffer not being able to work and earn a living.

**[This is why we "register" for a Social Security Number.]**

They will be our Chattel and we will hold the security interest over them forever, by operation of the law merchant under the scheme of secured transactions. Americans, by unknowingly or unwittingly delivering the bills of lading **[Certificate of Live Birth]** to us will be rendered bankrupt and insolvent, forever to remain economic slaves through taxation, secured by their pledges. **[Presumed consent]** They will be stripped of their rights and given a commercial value designed to make us a profit and they will be none the wiser, for not one man in a million could ever figure our plans and, if by accident one or two would figure it out, we have in our arsenal plausible deniability. After all, this is the only logical way to fund government, by floating liens and debt to the registrants in the form of benefits and privileges. This will inevitably reap to us huge profits beyond our wildest expectations and leave every American a contributor or to this fraud which we will call “Social Insurance.” Without realizing it, every American will insure us for any loss we may incur and in this manner; every American will unknowingly be our servant, however begrudgingly. The people will become helpless and without any hope for their redemption and, we will employ the high office of the President of our dummy corporation to foment this plot against America.”

**[The UNITED STATES is just a for-profit corporation.]**

## [PRESUMPTION]

In the 1950s, the Uniform Commercial Code was adopted in most of the States as a means of unifying the generally accepted procedures for handling the new legal system of dealing with commercial fictions as though they were real. Security instruments replaced substance as collateral for debts. Security instruments could be supported by presumptive

adhesion contracts. Debt instruments with collateral and accommodating parties could be used instead of money. Money and the need for money was disappearing, and a uniform system of law had to be put in place to allow the courts to uphold the security instruments that depended on commercial fictions as a basis for compelling payment or performance. All this was accomplished by the mid-1960s.

The commercial code is merely a codification of accepted and required procedures which all people engaged in commercial activity must follow. The basic principles of commerce had been settled thousands of years ago, but were refined as commerce became more sophisticated over the years. In the 1900s, the age-old principles of commerce shifted from substance to form. Presumption became a major element of the law. Without giving a degree of force to legal presumption, the new direction in enforcing commercial claims could not be supported in Equity/Admiralty courts and had no chance in common law. If the claimants were required to produce their claims every time they tried to collect from the people, they would seldom be successful.

The principles articulated in the commercial code combine the methods of dealing with substantive commercial activity with presumptive commercial activity. These principles work as well for us as they do for the entrenched powers. The rules are neutral and respect neither side of a dispute, as they are ancient in origin.

The entrenched powers that engineered the scheme for the people to register their property and person with the United States and its instrumentalities gained control of the peoples' property and right to property through registration and licensing. The United States became the trustee of the titles to everything. The definition of "property" is the interest one has in a thing. The thing is the principal. The property is the interest in the thing. Profits (interest) made from the property of another belong to the owner of the thing. The International Bankers made profits by pledging as surety the registered property of the people in commercial markets, but the profits do not belong to the Bankers. The profits belong to the owners of the thing. That is always the people. The corporation government shows only ownership of paper – titles to things. The substance cannot appear in the fiction. Sometimes the fiction is manufactured to appear as substance, but fiction can never become substance; it is an illusion. This is why the proper spelling of your name in upper and lower case is never used in court documents. The ALL CAPS spelling represents the legal fiction, which the government holds title to and jurisdiction over, as it is the creation of the government. The substance cannot appear in the fiction. What will happen when you appear and claim the name ascribed on the complaint? You and the fiction become one and the same; you have changed masks from a natural person to an artificial one.

The profits from all the registered property had to be put into trust for the benefit of the owners. If the profits were put into the general fund of the United States and not into separate trusts for the owners, the scheme would evidence fraud. The profits for each owner could not be co-mingled.

[CONSENT]

**[The banksters cannot access our trust without our signatures as the beneficiaries, so they trick us into consenting by getting us to sign their documents.]**

If the owner failed to use his available remedy (fictional credits held in a constructive trust account, fund, or financial ledger) to benefit from the profits, it would not be the fault of the government or their banking co-conspirators. If the owner failed to learn the law that would open the door to his remedy, it would not be the fault of the swindlers. The owner is responsible for learning the law so he understands that the profits from his property are available for him to discharge debts or charges brought against **his legal fiction person** by the United States or other commercial entities.

---

#### References

*Fruit From a Poisonous Tree*, by Melvin Stamper, JD; Amazon  
*The Bankruptcy of 1933*; Judge Dale, retired; search: [AntiCorruptionSociety.com](http://AntiCorruptionSociety.com)  
Congressional Record (June 1932); Source Documents; [AntiCorruptionSociety.com](http://AntiCorruptionSociety.com)  
Congressional Record (March 1933); Source Documents; [AntiCorruptionSociety.com](http://AntiCorruptionSociety.com)  
Congressional Record (March 1993); Source Documents; [AntiCorruptionSociety.com](http://AntiCorruptionSociety.com)  
*Our Government is Just Another Corporation*; search: [AntiCorruptionSociety.com](http://AntiCorruptionSociety.com)  
*The UCC and You*; Source Documents; [AntiCorruptionSociety.com](http://AntiCorruptionSociety.com)  
*Corporations Cannot be Sovereign Governments*; search: [AntiCorruptionSociety.com](http://AntiCorruptionSociety.com)

---

Strategies for 'denying consent' can be found in the *LAWFULLY YOURS* guide - available as a free download on [AntiCorruptionSociety.com](http://AntiCorruptionSociety.com)